

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALAN ERICKSON,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

8:05CR272

ORDER

This matter is before the court on defendant's unopposed MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [9]. For good cause shown, I find that the motion should be granted. The defendant will be given the requested approximate 30-day extension. Pretrial Motions shall be filed by September 30, 2005.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Defendant's UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO FILE PRETRIAL MOTIONS [9] is granted. Pretrial motions shall be filed on or before **September 30, 2005**.

2. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between August 31, 2005 and September 30, 2005**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel required additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

**DATED August 31, 2005.**

**BY THE COURT:**

**s/ F.A. Gossett  
United States Magistrate Judge**